

## OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS AUSTIN

GERALD C. MANN ATTORNEY GENERAL

> Honorable Ernest Quina County Attorney El Paso County El Paso, Texas

Dear Sir:

Opinion Wo. G-4175

He: Disposition of fees collected
by tax assessor-collector and
his deputies for taking acknowledgments in connection
with application for certificate of title under Motor Vehiole fot, and related questions.

The pertinent parts of your request for an opinion of this department are:

"I have been requested by our mutual friend, Herman Rosoh. County Tax Assessor and Collector of MI Paso County, to request you for an opinion with regard to the charge, if any, that may be made by employees in his affice for performing notarial services in connection with the execution of applications for certificate of title under the Motor Venicle Act, and also with regard to the taking of acknowledgments of applicants executing such applications by his deputies as such Deputy Tax Assessor and Collectors instead of as Notary Fublics.

The controversy has arisen in this manner; there are a number of applicants who come to his office with applications unprepared and request his employees to prepare the applications and take their acknowledgments. Employees in charge of this department are Notary Publics, and when requested, they perform this service and make a charge of 25¢ for taking the acknowledgment to the application. As a result of these charges.

the employees collect approximately \$50.00 a month, which money is turned over to Mr. Roach, and which he keeps as fees unaccountable to the county. He has not encouraged applicants to use his employees for this purpose, but that he contrary has suggested that they have their friends fill out the applications and take the acknowledgments in order to save the cost, as well as the trouble to his office, but there are a certain percentage who prefer to have the employees do this service and take this acknowledgment and pay the 25¢.

"In view of the fact that the form is approved and required by the Texas Highway Department, it undoubtedly is necessary that the acknowledgment be taken. I do not find any reference to this in the law itself, and I was wondering whether the acknowledgment could be taken by a deputy tax assessor as such deputy, and not as a Notary Public.

"You will also note that the acknowledgment does not contain the statement that the applicant is personally known to the Fotary Public. Is it your opinion that, as in every acknowledgment, the applicant should be known to the Fotary Public, or else introduced by someone who is known?

"Section 57 provides for payment of a fee of 50%. Is this fee exclusive, and does it prohibit any other charge, and would it require the taking of acknowledgments by the designated agent free of charge. The certificate referred to is required by Section 50 of Article 1456-1 of the Penal Code.

"In the event that this charge may be properly made by Mr. Rosch, is it your opinion that it is an excess fee for which he is not required to account? My opinion on this is that if he is entitled to make the charge, the fee is an excess fee and may be retained

Honorable Ernest Guinn, Page 5

by him, otherwise, Section 57 would control, which would prohibit any additional charge being made."

We held in our opinion No. 0-1127 that the tax assessor-collector or his deputies who were duly qualified notaries could take acknowledgments to transfers of owner-ship of automobiles and personally retain such fees. This holding was predicated upon the proposition that the law imposes no duty upon the tax assessor-collector to perform this function.

We will dispose of your questions in the order of their appearance in your request.

You ask whether the ecknowledgment may be taken by a deputy tax assessor in his official capacity.

Article 7246a, Revised Civil Statutes, provides in part:

"Bec. 1. The Assessor and Collector of Taxes, Sheriff or Sheriff and Assessor and Collector of Taxes, are hereby authorized and empowered to administer all oaths necessary for the discharge of the duties of their respective offices and to administer all caths required for the transaction of the business of their respective offices. . . "

It will be observed that the authority so conferred upon the named officers to administer oaths is limited to "baths required for the transaction of the business of their respective offices".

It is our opinion that acknowledgments may not be taken to the instrument in question by a tax collector or his deputy in his official expecity as such, but that it must be taken in his capacity as a notary public.

We have used the word "acknowledgment" in this opinion for the reason that your inquiry uses such term. The application has an affidavit appended instead of an acknowleggment. The notary public should, however, know the appliHonorable Ennest Guiss, Page 4

cant or have such applicant introduced to him as in any other case where an affidavit or acknowledgment is to be taken.

Section 57 of Article 1436-1, Vernon's Penal Code, to which you refer, providing a fee of fifty cents to be paid by an applicant for a certificate of title is to be divided twenty-five cents to the tex assessor-collector and twenty-five cents to the State Highway Department for the purpose of covering their respective expenses in connection with the administration of the act. You ask if such fee is exclusive. We are not sure that we understand your question, but it is our opinion that a greater or lesser fee cannot be charged or collected. It does not prevent a charge being made by a notary public for taking the applicant's acknowledgment.

A notary public is a public officer. 31 Tax. Jur. 343. The compensation attached to an office belongs to the person legally holding the office. Hawrick v. Ake, 75 Tex. 142, 12 S. W. 618. It is our opinion that the notary fees heretofore collected and now held by the tax assessor—collector and all fees hereafter collected are the property of and belong to the individual notaries who have performed and who hereafter perform the services.

Yours very truly

APPXOVED FEB 2 1942

V VOVY ANI

ATTORNEY GENERAL

ATTORNEY OZNERAL OF TEXAS

Lloyd Armstrong

Assistant

LA: GO

THIS COUNTY

CONTROLLED TO THE APPROVED THE HIMTON CONFERLINGS

